# Data Augmentation Using GANs

Project 236754, Dima Birenbaum

Supervisors: Yaron Honen, Gary Mataev

#### Main Goal

Our main goal is to generate faces with specific emotions. This generated data will serve as an external data source that helps improve a classifier.



# Part A

Synthetic Data Generation by emotion transition using Generative Adversarial Networks

# The Data



For middle stage, FER2013 dataset was chosen.

This dataset contains images of size 48x48 pixels and 7 emotion expressions: Angry, Disgust, Fear, Happy, Sad, Surprise, Neutral.

#### **Data Distribution**

The data distribution in FER2013 dataset is:

Emotion	Amount
Angry	4593
*Disgust	547
Fear	5121
Нарру	8989
Sad	6077
Surprise	4002
Neutral	6198

\* - we will discuss further

# The Cycle GAN Model

The project uses CycleGAN architecture, as a method, for imageto-image style transfer.

CycleGAN - is a two way GAN, that consists of 2 *Discriminators* and 2 *Generators*.

The idea is to transfer an input from one domain to another back and forth.

## **Theory Background**

Domains A, B, mapping functions:  $G:A \rightarrow B$ ,  $F:B \rightarrow A$ , associated adversarial discriminators  $D_A$ ,  $D_B$  $D_B$  encourages G to translate A into outputs indistinguishable from domain B, and vice versa, for  $D_A$  and F.

To further regularize the mappings, used *two-cycle consistency loss*. The main intuition, that when translating from one domain to another and back again, the model should arrive at where it started. Two-cycle consistency loss consists of:

(a) Forward cycle-consistency:  $a \rightarrow G(a) \rightarrow F(G(a)) \approx a$ 

(b) Backward cycle-consistency:  $b \rightarrow F(b) \rightarrow G(F(b)) \approx b$ 



#### **Target and loss functions**

• Adversarial loss:

$$\mathcal{L}_{GAN}(G, D_A, A, B) = \mathbb{E}_{a \sim p_{data}(a)} \left[ (D_A(a) - 1)^2 \right] + \mathbb{E}_{b \sim p_{data}(b)} \left[ \left( D_A(G(b)) \right)^2 \right]$$

• Cycle consistency loss:

$$\mathcal{L}_{cyc}(G,F) = \mathbb{E}_{a \sim p_{data}(a)} \left[ \left\| F(G(a)) - a \right\|_{1} \right] + \mathbb{E}_{b \sim p_{data}(b)} \left[ \left\| G(F(b)) - b \right\|_{1} \right]$$

• Full objective:

$$\mathcal{L}(G, F, D_A, D_B) = \mathcal{L}_{GAN}(G, D_B, A, B) + \mathcal{L}_{GAN}(F, D_A, A, B) + \lambda \mathcal{L}_{CYC}(G, F)$$

• Target function:

$$\widehat{G}, \widehat{F} = \arg\min_{G,F} \max_{D_A,D_B} \mathcal{L}(G,F,D_A,D_B)$$

#### The Model: Forward Cycle A2B



#### The Model: Backward Cycle B2A





# The Architecture

Anatomy of Cycle GAN Generator and Discriminator

#### The Networks: *Generator*

The Generator consists of 3 parts:

- Decode (downsampling)
- Transferring (6 residual blocks)
- Encode (upsampling).



#### The Networks: *Discriminator*

The Discriminator - a simple CNN network, that determinates if the image is fake or real.



**Discriminator Network** 

#### **First results**

Neutral -> Happy transition 

south 148



#### Problems

- "Dirty" dataset, unbalanced classes, mislabeled data
- Similarity between classes (for example: fear-angry, sad-neutral)
- Lack of data (Disgust Class 550 images)
- Discriminator learns faster than the Generator.
- Vanishing gradient
- Quality and artifacts of output images

#### **Solution –** Weighted Cycle Loss

- Data augmentation, transform on training
- Different learning rates for generator and discriminator: 0.0002, 0.0001
- Learning rate decay
- Soft labels for discriminator: Real target is 0.9 instead of 1
- Improving quality by changing cycle loss to:

$$\mathcal{L}_{cyc}(G, F, D_A, A, \gamma) = \mathbb{E}_{a \sim p_{data}(a)} \left[ D_A(a) \cdot \left[ \gamma \cdot \left\| f_{D_A}\left( F(G(a)) \right) - f_{D_A}(a) \right\|_1 + (1 - \gamma) \cdot \left\| F(G(a)) - a \right\|_1 \right] \right]$$

Where:  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$  – linearly increase with epochs, to  $1, f_{D_{(\cdot)}}$ - is the future extractor using last layer of  $D_{(\cdot)}$ 

• So final objective updated to:

 $\mathcal{L}(G, F, D_A, D_B) = \mathcal{L}_{GAN}(G, D_B, A, B) + \mathcal{L}_{GAN}(F, D_A, A, B) + \lambda \mathcal{L}_{cyc}(G, F, D_A, A, \gamma) + \lambda \mathcal{L}_{cyc}(G, F, D_B, B, \gamma)$ 

#### **Results of improved model**

Ó







**Real Neutral** 

Fake Surprise

epoch 67 -----



20 .

Ó



Real Neutral

ò







**Recovered Neutral** 

#### Recovered Neutral



Real Neutral

Fake Happy

Recovered Neutral

### **The Conclusion**

So as we saw, we have a lot of problems, such as:

- model instability;
- vanishing gradient;
- dirty or small dataset;
- control over the training;
- battle between generator and discriminator etc.

# The Wasserstein Cycle GAN Model

So how can we improve stability of training? The answer is - The Wasserstein distance.

Wasserstein CycleGAN - is a twoway Wasserstein GAN, that consists of 2 *Critics* and 2 *Generators*.

The idea is, for distribution of mass  $\mu(x)$  on a space X, we wish to transport the mass in such a way that it is transformed into the distribution  $\nu(x)$  on the <u>same</u> space.

### **Theory Background -** The Wasserstein distance

Our main goal and bottle-neck is to create data, that has same distribution as targeted domain, one of the most suitable and available methods for this task is *The Wasserstein distance*.

<u>The Wasserstein distance</u> is the minimum cost of transporting mass in converting the data distribution **q** to the data distribution **p**. The Wasserstein distance for the real data distribution **Pr** and the generated data distribution **Pg** is mathematically defined as the greatest lower bound (infimum) for any transport plan.

#### **Theory Background -** The Wasserstein distance

• The Wasserstein distance loss:

 $W(\mathbb{P}_r, \mathbb{P}_g) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{P}_r, \mathbb{P}_g)} \mathbb{E}_{(x, y) \sim \gamma}[\|x - y\|]$ 

Where  $\Pi(\mathbb{P}_r, \mathbb{P}_g)$  – denotes the set of all joint distributions  $\gamma(x, y)$ , whose marginals are respectively Pr and Pg.

• However, the equation for the Wasserstein distance is highly intractable. Using the *Kantorovich-Rubinstein duality*, we can simplify the calculation to:

 $W(\mathbb{P}_r, \mathbb{P}_{\theta}) = \sup_{\|f\|_{L} \leq 1} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}_r}[f(x)] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathbb{P}_{\theta}}[f(x)]$ Where *sup* is the least upper bound and *f* is a 1 – *Lipschitz function* following this constraint:  $|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq 1 \cdot |x_1 - x_2|$ 

### **Theory Background -** *The Wasserstein distance*

So to calculate *the Wasserstein distance*, we just need to find a *1-Lipschitz function*. We build a deep network to learn it. This network is very similar to the discriminator **D**, just without the sigmoid function and outputs a scalar score\* rather than a probability.



\* - This score can be interpreted as how real the input images are.

#### The Networks: *Generator*

The Generator same as in Cycle GAN:

- Decode (downsampling)
- Transferring (6 residual blocks)
- Encode (upsampling).



#### The Networks: *Critic*

Same as Discriminator, but without Sigmoid activation at the end.



#### **Results of Wasserstein Cycle Gan**



# **The Results**

Let`s see visual results of the work.

#### **Other results : Teenager**



#### **Other results: Women**



#### **Other results: Men**

Fake Sad







#### **Other results: Old**



#### **Other results: Asian**



#### **Other results: Noisy**



#### **Other results: Noisy - Watermarks**



#### **Results on transformed data**





#### **Other results: Children #1**



#### **Other results: Children #2**



## Part B

Classifiers on FER2013 Dataset
Fake Neutral Images Generator
Using DCGAN

# The Classifier



FER2013

Classification task on "dirt" dataset , maybe challenging.

Results were checked on two different classifiers:

- Simple (~65%)
- Current State of the art (73%)

#### Surreal (Paper) Classifier - Architecture

Layer Type	Configuration
Input image	48*48*1
Convolution&ReLU	[3, 3, 1, 64] = 1
Max-Pooling&Norm	[1, 3, 3, 1] s=2
Convolution&ReLU	[3, 3, 64, 128] s=1
Max-Pooling&Norm	[1, 3, 3, 1] s=2
FC*2	256
Softmax	[256, 7]
Output logits	[7]

#### Surreal (Paper) Classifier - Architecture



#### **Simple Classifier - Architecture**

Layer (type)	Output	Shaj	pe		Param #
conv2d_1 (Conv2D)	(None,	46,	46,	64)	640
conv2d_2 (Conv2D)	(None,	46,	46,	64)	36928
batch_normalization_1 (Batch	(None,	46,	46,	64)	256
	(None,	23,	23,	64)	θ
dropout_1 (Dropout)	(None,	23,	23,	64)	θ
conv2d_3 (Conv2D)	(None,	23,	23,	128)	73856
batch_normalization_2 (Batch	(None,	23,	23,	128)	512
conv2d_4 (Conv2D)	(None,	23,	23,	128)	147584
batch_normalization_3 (Batch	(None,	23,	23,	128)	512
max_pooling2d_2 (MaxPooling2	(None,	11,	11,	128)	0
dropout_2 (Dropout)	(None,	11,	11,	128)	0
conv2d_5 (Conv2D)	(None,	11,	11,	256)	295168
batch_normalization_4 (Batch	(None,	11,	11,	256)	1024
conv2d_6 (Conv2D)	(None,	11,	11,	256)	598888
batch_normalization_5 (Batch	(None,	11,	11,	256)	1024

max_pooling2d_3 (MaxPooling2	(None,	5, 5, 256)	9
dropout_3 (Dropout)	(None,	5, 5, 256)	0
conv2d_7 (Conv2D)	(None,	5, 5, 512)	1189169
batch_normalization_6 (Batch	(None,	5, 5, 512)	2948
conv2d_8 (Conv2D)	(None,	5, 5, 512)	2359808
batch_normalization_7 (Batch	(None,	5, 5, 512)	2048
max_pooling2d_4 (MaxPooling2	(None,	2, 2, 512)	0
dropout_4 (Dropout)	(None,	2, 2, 512)	0
flatten_1 (Flatten)	(None,	2048)	9
dense_1 (Dense)	(None,	512)	1049088
dropout_5 (Dropout)	(None,	512)	Ð
dense_2 (Dense)	(None,	256)	131328
dropout_6 (Dropout)	(None,	256)	0
dense_3 (Dense)	(None,	128)	32896
dropout_7 (Dropout)	(None,	128)	θ
dense_4 (Dense)	(None,	7)	983
Total parans: 5,905,863 Trainable parans: 5,902,151 Non-trainable parans: 3,712	******		**********

#### **Simple Classifier - Results**

Baseline:	Baseline + Synthetic Data:
Accuracy of the network on the 3589 test images: 65.09 %	Accuracy of the network on the 3589 test images: 66.26 %
Accuracy of Angry : 53 % of 262 / 491 total Accuracy of Disgust : 60 % of 33 / 55 total Accuracy of Fear : 46 % of 244 / 528 total Accuracy of Happy : 85 % of 750 / 879 total Accuracy of Sad : 44 % of 262 / 594 total Accuracy of Surprise : 78 % of 327 / 416 total Accuracy of Neutral : 73 % of 458 / 626 total	Accuracy of Angry : 57 % of 282 / 491 total +4% Accuracy of Disgust : 65 % of 36 / 55 total +5% Accuracy of Fear : 51 % of 271 / 528 total +5% Accuracy of Happy : 87 % of 767 / 879 total +2% Accuracy of Sad : 45 % of 271 / 594 total +1% Accuracy of Surprise : 78 % of 328 / 416 total ~0% Accuracy of Neutral : 67 % of 423 / 626 total -6%(*)

\*- As you can see, we diminish Neutral Class, so what can we do? Generate Neutral Class, more in future works section.

#### SOTA Classifier (VGG19) - Results

#### Baseline:



Can we achieve state of the art?!

Baseline + Synthetic Data:

0.8

66

04

0.2

6.6

# The Fake GAN





So how can we supply more data, with the same distribution? The answer is, we will create it. Fake GAN using DCGAN, WGAN-GP

#### **Generator - Architecture**



#### **Discriminator - Architecture**

The generator, is designed to map the latent space vector (*Z*) to data-space. Since data are images, converting *Z* to data-space means ultimately creating an image with the same size as the training images (i.e. 1x48x48). In practice, this is accomplished through a series of strided two dimensional convolutional transpose layers, each paired with a 2d batch norm layer and a ReLU activation.

#### **Discriminator - Architecture**

Discriminator - is a binary classification network that takes an image as input and outputs a scalar probability that the input image is real (as opposed to fake).

Discriminator takes a 1x48x48 input image, processes it through a series of Conv2d, BatchNorm2d, and LeakyReLU layers, and outputs the final probability through a Sigmoid activation function.

#### **Overview**



#### **Overview**



#### **Future work**

- Further work with generated data:
  - Analyze distribution
  - Analyze similarity of generate and original images, by using ssim()
- Can we improve state of the art results
- Generation of Neutral Class for FER2013, using Fake GAN
- Improvement Fake GAN by using WGAN-GP
- Put all together:
  - Use Fake Gan as part of Cycle GAN architecture
  - Analyze difference between Cycle GAN, Improved Cycle Gan and Wasserstein GAN
- Testing performance on generated data while training on original and vice versa

The END